1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 VERONICA HERNANDEZ; et al., Case No. 1:22-cv-01145-KES-EPG 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY AND DISPOSITIVE MOTION 11 **DEADLINES** v. 12 COUNTY OF FRESNO, et al., 13 (ECF No. 64). Defendants. 14 15 16 This matter is before the Court on the parties' stipulation to continue the discovery deadlines 17 by about two months and the dispositive motion deadline by two weeks. As grounds, the parties state 18 that the discovery in this case is extensive (over 1,800 pages), there are still outstanding records to be 19 obtained, the parties desire to hold off on taking depositions until all the relevant records have been 20 received, and defense counsel is involved in a trial this month. (ECF No. 64). The parties do not ask 21 to continue the pretrial conference and trial dates. 22 Based upon the parties' stipulation (ECF No. 64), IT IS ORDERED that the scheduling order 23 is modified as follows: 1. Non-Expert Discovery is now due by 1/15/2025; 24 2. Designation of Expert Witnesses is now due by 2/3/2025; 25 3. Rebuttal Designation of Expert Witnesses is now due by 3/10/2025; 26 4. Expert Discovery is now due by 4/10/2025; and 27 5. Dispositive Motions must now be filed by 4/11/25. 28

Case 1:22-cv-01145-KES-EPG Document 65 Filed 10/04/24 Page 2 of 2

1	6. All unmodified portions of the scheduling order, <i>e.g.</i> , the July 21, 2025 pretrial
2	conference and September 16, 2025 trial dates, remain in place. (ECF No. 60).
3	7. Further, as this is the third modification to the scheduling order, future extension
4	requests will be disfavored. (ECF No. 27, 49, 60).
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: October 4, 2024 Value P. Shorp UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	